

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

MF

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DO		ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/017,753		12/11/2001	Gholam-Reza Zadno-Azizi	VGEN.009A	1465
20995	7590	01/23/2003			
KNOBBE I	MARTEN	VS OLSON & BE	EXAMINER		
2040 MAIN STREET FOURTEENTH FLOOR				WILLSE, DAVID H	
IRVINE, CA	92614			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				3738	
				DATE MAILED: 01/23/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s) m T				
•		10/017,753	ZADNO-AZIZI ET AL.				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		Dave Willse	3738				
	The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status							
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 11 E	December 2001 .					
2a)□	·	s action is non-final.					
3)	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		rosecution as to the merits is				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
•	ion of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) 1-3 is/are pending in the application.							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrav	vn from consideration.					
•	Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
	Claim(s) is/are rejected.						
•	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) <u>1-3</u> are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers							
	The specification is objected to by the Examine	r					
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.							
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.							
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 ∪.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:							
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received.					
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 							
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).							
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.							
Attachment(s)							
2) Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892) se of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal	y (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 10/017,753

Art Unit: 3738

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

Species I:

Figures 3-17;

Species II:

Figure 17.4;

Species III:

Figure 17.5;

Species IV:

Figures 18 and 19;

Species V:

Figure 20;

Species VI:

Figure 21;

Species VII:

Figure 21.1;

Species VIII:

Figure 21.2;

Species IX:

Figure 21.3;

Species X:

Figure 35;

Species XI:

Figure 36;

Species XII:

Figures 37 and 38;

Species XIII:

Figures 38.1 and 38.2.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Application/Control Number: 10/017,753

Art Unit: 3738

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species (MPEP § 809.02(a)).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Dave Willse, whose telephone number is (703) 308-2903. The supervisor, Corrine McDermott, can be reached at (703) 308-2111. The receptionist's phone number is (703) 308-0858, and the main FAX numbers are (703) 305-3591, 3590.

dhw: D. Willse January 21, 2003 DAVE WILLSE PRIMARY EXAMINER ART UNIT 3738